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ABSTRACT

The main advantages of cutting with liquid jets are the flexibility and consistently sharp tool, which allows the machining of a large number of materials and complex shapes. Unfortunately, the humidification of the components is a problem for certain applications and inhibits the spread of jet technology. In contrast, jetting with liquid carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) offers new potentials in the field of dry and residue-free cutting processes. In the recent past, a prototypical system was developed which allowed the generation of a stable liquid CO\textsubscript{2} jet and its analyzation. Due to that, producible jet forces, jet velocities and cut notches depending on machining parameters like nozzle diameter, jet pressure and jet distance were investigated.

With this publication the jet modification and optimization in order to achieve a competitive industrial manufacturing process will be presented. In the investigation on the jetting with high-pressure CO\textsubscript{2} with up to 300 MPa the jet performance on different materials will be analyzed and compared with the plain waterjet machining. Therefore especially the impact of the working distance will be investigated.
1 INTRODUCTION

Water jet machining has developed to a multifunctional tool for processing various technical materials. The main advantages of the technology, like continuous transport of chips, high flexibility and the availability of a persistent sharp tool with low thermal and mechanical load on the workpieces, still open up new fields of applications. For some applications, water jetting will never be the first choice of machining technology due to its additional process steps such as the microfiltration before as well as the post-treatment with disposal of water, cleaning and drying of the workpieces after machining [1, 2]. The non-sterile process and humidification, unhelpful for example in medical or cleanroom applications, are limiting factors of conventional jet processes and motivate to search for alternative cutting methods.

Due to the complete sublimation of the jet medium, jet cutting with carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) is a dry and residue-free process. The used CO\textsubscript{2} is a waste product of industrial processes and can be considered as environmentally neutral [3]. Snow blasting with solid CO\textsubscript{2} was established in recent years for pretreating and decoating [4] but the low hardness of the particles prevents the ability to be more than a cleaning process. Therefore jetting with liquid CO\textsubscript{2} represents an alternative option.

High-pressure jet cutting with liquid CO\textsubscript{2} as a jet medium was first investigated in a feasibility study by DUNSKY and HASHISH [5]. They proved the realizability of the process under atmospheric conditions and showed similarities to water jet cutting as a residue-free cutting process. Based on these results BILZ [6] designed a prototype system at the Production Technology Centre Berlin (PTC) and continued with detailed analytical and experimental investigations. Force impulse measurements and the evaluation of kerf characteristics on plastic specimens were conducted to show the industrial potential of the high-pressure jet cutting process. Originating from a joint research project with the PTC, ENGELMEIER [7] carried on investigations in order to analyze pressures and temperatures in the process and their influence on jet deformation and decay.

In previous work [8] a general suitability of the process for a dry and residue-free cutting of metal materials was proved. Investigations on jet velocity, jet impulse force and kerf geometry on aluminum specimen (Al\textsubscript{1}Mg\textsubscript{3}) using jet pressures up to 300 MPa led to knowledge about main differences between plain water jet cutting and jetting with liquid CO\textsubscript{2}.

Continuing, in this paper the depth of cut for certain materials depending on various influencing factors will be shown. Not only for obvious process parameters like pressure and nozzle diameter, but also the working distance will be examined. Furthermore, the secondary material damage will be investigated.

2 TEST STAND AND MEASUREMENT SETUP

2.1 Test stand for liquid CO\textsubscript{2} jets

By using a prototype system functional correlations between significant setting parameters and results were developed analytically and experimentally in order to analyze the cutting properties of the CO\textsubscript{2} jet. The significant factors are the depth of cut \( k_T \) and the middle kerf width \( k_{BM} \).
Following, the test stand and the measuring principle to analyze these quantities are described. The empirical investigations on the plain water jet cutting technology were performed with the system Jet Max HRX 160L from MAXIMATOR JET GMBH, Schweinfurt, Germany, with a high-pressure pump HPS 6045 which realizes jet pressures up to \( p_0 = 600 \) MPa.

The liquid CO\(_2\) cutting jet system is divided into three functional modules: Climatic chamber, high-pressure pump and cutting chamber (Figure 1). The liquid CO\(_2\) is supplied from a riser pipe bottle inside the chamber via high-pressure hoses to the suction side of the high-pressure pump. According to the temperature inside the climatic chamber, the supply pressure is regulated up to \( p_V = 9 \) MPa at 45 to 50 °C. Within the pump, liquid carbon dioxide is gradually compressed up to 300 MPa and pumped to the pulsation damper to the closed cutting head in the cutting chamber. The high-pressure pump is a Steamline1 of INGERSOLL-RAND, Swords, Ireland, with a maximum pressure of \( p_0 = 345 \) MPa and a maximum flow rate of \( Q = 3.8 \) l/min. The cutting head is pneumatically actuated and opened, allowing the high-pressure fluid to exit the nozzle. The cutting head, Active Autoline II from KMT GMBH, Bad Nauheim, Germany, attached to the gantry robot is moved according to the chosen direction and at the selected feed speed. The machining of the part with the high-pressure CO\(_2\) jet takes place on a worktable where the workpieces are fixed. The removed material falls through a grating and can be collected and analysed afterwards.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 1.** Schematic sketch of the high-pressure liquid CO\(_2\) jet cutting system

### 2.2 Measurement of kerf characteristics

To analyze the potential of creating kerfs, the depth of cut \( k_T \), kerf width \( k_B \), middle kerf width \( k_{BM} \) and kerf shape \( k_F \) were investigated. The middle kerf width was measured at half of the depth of cut. The kerf shape \( k_F \) is a qualitative value and compares the kerf profile along the abscissa axis by cutting vertically through the kerf (Figure 2). For these investigations the specimen of rolled sheet with a thickness of 2 mm consisting of the aluminum alloy AlMg\(_3\) were processed with different parameters. The material was chosen due to the characteristic properties of metal, but low hardness. Previous results of the jet impulse forces \( F_S \) [8] as well as preliminary tests led to the
parameter field shown in Table 1. To provide statistically firm results, kerfs with a length of \( k_L = 10 \text{ mm} \) were processed for each parameter variation and measured at three different locations. The measurements on the specimen were realized by using the chromatic white light interferometer FRT MicroProf and the chromatic sensor FRT CWL from Fries Research & Technology GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany. To evaluate the data the software FRT Mark III from the same company was used. The second measurement system was the digital microscope VHX-5000 from KEYENCE DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, Neu-Isenburg, Germany.

### Table 1. Parameters to determine the kerf geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jet fluid</td>
<td></td>
<td>H(_2\text{O} / \text{CO}_2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet pressure</td>
<td>( p_0 )</td>
<td>100 / 200 / 300</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply pressure</td>
<td>( p_V )</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle diameter</td>
<td>( d_D )</td>
<td>0.10 / 0.15 / 0.20 / 0.25</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working distance</td>
<td>( a_W )</td>
<td>2 / 4 / 6</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet feed speed</td>
<td>( v_f )</td>
<td>30 / 60 / 120</td>
<td>mm/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 2.** Characteristic values to quantify kerfs created with liquid CO\(_2\) jets

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Kerf characteristics for metals

Technological investigations on the kerf characteristics of a high-pressure CO\(_2\) jet, confirmed the results regarding jet impact force and jet velocity in previous work [8]. It was also determined that a variation of the jet distance of \( a_W = 2 \text{ mm} \) to \( a_W = 6 \text{ mm} \) only caused marginal effects. In each case, increasing the nozzle diameter \( d_D \) and the jet pressure \( p_0 \) led to an increase of depth of cut \( k_T \) and kerf width \( k_B \). Increasing the feed speed \( v_f \) resulted, as expected, in a decrease of depth of cut \( k_T \) and kerf width \( k_B \). The middle kerf depth \( k_{BM} \) decreased only slightly as shown exemplary in Figure 3 for the jet pressure \( p_0 = 300 \text{ MPa} \) and the working distance \( a_W = 4 \text{ mm} \). It is noteworthy
that the depth of cut $k_T$ has similar values for the nozzle diameters $d_D = 0.20$ mm and $d_D = 0.25$ mm. The smaller nozzle even achieves higher depths of cut in some cases. That contradicts the other results and could be related to a further limiting factor of the system - the limited volume flow rate caused by the riser pipe bottle. In future work this has to be excluded.

The investigation of the cutting surface in lateral view showed a characteristic outcome for the plain waterjet (Figure 4b). The images, taken with the Dino-Lite edge digital microscope from ANMO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, Taiwan, show that the surface is divided into three zones: The clear cutting lines near the workpiece surface; the pretty clear transition zone; the rough cutting surface with drag line separation due to the feed speed. Quite different is the cutting result after jetting with liquid CO$_2$. The cutting surface shows a rather pore-like characteristic and the kerf root is wavy and rough. These results indicate a significant difference at the behavior of the backwater between liquid CO$_2$ and water. The density difference and gaseous phase seem to manifest here.
Figure 4. Lateral cutting surface for $p_0 = 300$ MPa, $v_f = 30$ mm/min, $a_W = 4$ mm; a) CO$_2$ jet, $d_D = 0.12$ mm; b) H$_2$O jets, $d_D = 0.25$ mm

The evaluation of the kerf geometries in cross direction resulted in a V-shaped kerf profile for all feed speeds $v_f$ for the jet fluid CO$_2$. By using plain water the depth $k_T$ significantly increased and a rather U-shaped kerf profile was identified. That indicates a multiphase state of the CO$_2$ jet, which means that the density and therefore the cutting performance are higher inside the jet (Figure 5).
This assumption is illustrated in Figure 6. It can be seen, that the jet is fraying at the edge and wave formation occurs. Only at increasing jet pressure the inside of the jet can be identified as homogeneous liquid CO\textsubscript{2}, shown in Figure 6b. By increasing the jet pressure from \( p_0 = 100 \text{ MPa} \) to \( p_0 = 300 \text{ MPa} \) the jet characteristics regarding cutting performance, homogeneity and jet expansion directly after the nozzle outlet could be influenced positively. As shown in Figure 6, the jet expands after the nozzle outlet and widens with increasing distance. The jet diameter after the nozzle outlet is \( d_{S1} = 1.08 \text{ mm} \) for the nozzle diameter \( d_D = 0.1 \text{ mm} \). This equates to an expansion by a factor of 10. The cutting performance at the workpiece only achieved a middle kerf width of \( k_{BM} < 0.2 \text{ mm} \), which corresponds approximately to the homogeneous liquid jet center. The expansion of the CO\textsubscript{2} is caused by the extreme pressure drop at atmospheric conditions and a concurrent temperature change directly after the nozzle outlet. Thus, the jet fluid CO\textsubscript{2} changes from liquid state to gaseous state at the outer edge of the jet.
3.2 The Depth of cut indicates the jet decay

With the measurement setup, detailed described in [8], it is possible to compare the jet impulse force $F_S$ of the fluid with its effect at the workpiece surface. As shown in Figure 6 the jet impulse force $F_S$ decreases not before a working distance of $a_w = 400$ mm in contrast to its effect on the depth of cut $k_T$ which ends at about $a_w = 30$ mm. The kerf width $k_B$ increases for all tested nozzle diameters until a working distance of $a_w = 20$ mm and then drops slightly until no depth of cut was measured. Obviously, the nozzle with a diameter of $d_D = 0.15$ mm achieved the widest kerfs with a maximum of $k_B = 1182$ µm at a working distance of $a_w = 20$ mm. This parameter combination of nozzle diameter, mass flow and jet pressure seems to realize a very stable jet which can be used for machining with the present machine system.

Alongside the jet from nozzle to workpiece, two different characteristics are influencing the decreasing of cutting depth: On one hand, the phase transformation from liquid to gas which inherits a density decrease of the fluid. On the other hand the conical expansion of the jet which enlarges the jet cross-sectional area and therefore decreases the effective area force. A distinct difference between water and liquid CO$_2$ jetting, enabling the new technology for complex shapes and 3D -operations without jet catcher, for example necessary for machining with industrial robots.
In this context it is also interesting how the jet behavior is affected after the penetration of the workpiece. Unfortunately, the CO$_2$ jet pressure was not effective enough to cut through the AlMg$_3$ parts, so thin sheets of carbon-fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) with a material thickness of $d_{WS} = 0.17$ mm were chosen for these investigations. In preliminary tests it was possible to cut CFRP materials with the parameters chosen for AlMg$_3$ [9]. The investigated CFRP samples were cut through until a maximum working distance $a_{WM} = 25$ mm for the nozzle with $d_D = 0.1$ or $a_{WM} = 40$ mm with $d_D = 0.2$ mm and a jet feed speed of $v_f = 30$ mm/min. To measure the impact...
on the secondary workpiece a new experimental setup was realized, as shown in Figure 7. Two sheets were positioned with an angle of $\alpha = 45^\circ$ while jetting with a defined working distance of $a_W = 4$ mm from the first sheet. Through calculation with the trigonometric function (3-1) it is possible to get the secondary working distance $a_{SW}$ at the point $k_{L2}$, at which still is a depth of cut $k_T$ measurable.

\[
\sin(\alpha) = \text{opposite of } \alpha / \text{hypotenuse} \quad (3-1)
\]

\[
\text{opposite of } \alpha = \sin(\alpha) \times \text{hypotenuse} \quad (3-2)
\]

\[
a_{SW} = \sin(45^\circ) \times \text{Kerf length } k_{L2} \quad (3-3)
\]

In the course of investigations a differential impact at the workpiece surface has been observed. Due to the structure of the CFRP, with different layers of synthetic resin and filaments, at a certain distance $a_{SW}$ and jet feed speed $v_f$ there was no clear kerf visible but still a damage at the surface was observed. For industrial applications, for example drilling of hollow-chamber profiles, a small damage at the bottom would be criteria for exclusion. The lower feed speed with $v_{f1} = 30.0$ mm/min and the bigger nozzle led, as expected, to higher working distances with $a_{SW} = 8.4$ mm or rather $a_{SW} = 30$ mm for the two illustrated nozzles. Adding $d_{WS} = 1.7$ mm and $a_W = 4$ mm it is significant, that the CO$_2$ jet length is shortened and way beyond the original $a_{WM}$. Consequently, the liquid CO$_2$ jet not only shows potential for industrial robotic applications, but also for hollow-chamber applications.

![Secondary working distance with kT and damage](image)

**Figure 7.** Secondary working distance $a_{SW}$ with a depth of cut $k_T$ and damage of the workpiece

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

The described experimental and measurement setup provides a coherent and liquid high-pressure
jet of carbon dioxide which is comprehensible and reproducible. The liquid CO\textsubscript{2} jet shows similar behavior to the plain water jet but with slightly lower velocity, force impulse and notch rate. The experiments with specimens of AlMg\textsubscript{3} have shown a general suitability of the process for a dry and residue-free cutting of metal materials. By raising the nozzle diameter \(d_D\) and the jet pressure \(p_0\) it was possible to increase the jet impulse force \(F_S\), the jet velocity \(v_S\) and the notch effect significantly for both fluids. The raising of the distance between the jet nozzle and the workpieces had no specific influence on jet impulse force \(F_S\) in contrast to the kerf geometry. The Kerf depth decreases while the kerf width increases until a certain point, depending on nozzle diameter. The effective liquid CO\textsubscript{2} jet length is especially depending on jet pressure and nozzle diameter and therefore qualified for robotic and hollow-chamber applications. The kerfs after jetting with liquid CO\textsubscript{2} show lateral pore-like cutting sides and \(v\)-shapes in cross direction.

Further optimization of the high-pressure CO\textsubscript{2} jet prototype system, like the defined cooling of supply pipes and fluid, as well as a higher mass flow could lead to better results. In further investigations additional parameters will be investigated and other aluminum alloys, plastics and CFRP will be processed to evaluate removal mechanisms.
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### 7 NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aw</td>
<td>Working distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asw</td>
<td>Secondary working distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>awm</td>
<td>Maximum working distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aws</td>
<td>Workpiece edge length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dD</td>
<td>Nozzle diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dWS</td>
<td>Workpiece thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kBM</td>
<td>Middle kerf width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kL</td>
<td>Kerf length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kL2</td>
<td>Kerf length at second workpiece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kT</td>
<td>Depth of cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p0</td>
<td>Jet pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pv</td>
<td>Supply pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td>Jetting time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vT</td>
<td>Jet feed speed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>